



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,573	12/19/2001	Eric Klingler	10194.8032.US01	3290
30083	7590 02/27/2006		EXAMINER	
PERKINS COIE LLP/AWS P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			PYZOCHA, I	MICHAEL J
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2137	

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/028,573	KLINGLER ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Pyzocha	2137			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing data 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o nice with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires 5 months from the maining date of this.	*	in the final rejection, whichever is later. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extermining the period of the purposes of determining the period of earlier termining the period of the purposes of determining the period of earlier termining the period of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origer than three months after the mailing day). pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as te of the final rejection, even if timely filed, filed within two months of the date of			
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·	,			
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further content (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beau appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying the issues for			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		l be entered and an explanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.			
11. \(\sum \) The request for reconsideration has been considered b \(\sum \) See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: ____.

EMMANUELL. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments submitted on 02/07/2006 have been considered, but are not persuasive. Applicant argues the Malek does not use a control part to initiate an encryption or decryption process.

With respect to Applicant's argument that Malek does not use a control part to initiate an encryption or decryption process, in column 4 lines 47-57 Malek teaches that, "The synchronization part 204 and the control part 206 are used to synchronize the encryption and decryption". Even though the synchronization part and the control part may be separate they are both require for synchronization as described in the above passage. Applicant further cites portions of Malek that teach the synchronization part enable, not initiate, encryption and decryption, again as described in the passage above both the synchronization part and the control part are used for the synchronization and therefore the encryption or decryption cannot be started without the correct control part..